National Defence / Défense nationale

EFFECT OF INCREASED BODY CLOTHING INSULATION ON HAND TEMPERATURE IN A COLD ENVIRONMENT

by

S.D. Livingstone, R.W. Nolan and S.W. Cattroll
Environmental Protection Section
Protective Sciences Division

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT OTTAWA
TECHNICAL NOTE 84-26

PCN
14B10

December 1984
Ottawa

ABSTRACT

The provision of thermal protection for the poorly-insulated hands of satellite-tracking telescope operators working in the cold was investigated. It was found that, as predicted, if additional insulation was applied to the whole body of the man, the temperature of his hands could be maintained for a longer period of time.

RÉSUMÉ

La possibilité d'offrir une protection thermique pour les mains des opérateurs de télescopes destinés au repérage de satellites, lorsque ces personnes travaillent au froid, a fait l'objet d'une étude. On a découvert, comme prévu, que si le corps de ces personnes est recouvert d'une couche isolante supplémentaire, la température de leurs mains peut être maintenue pendant plus longtemps.

INTRODUCTION

Thermal protection of personnel who must remain relatively inactive while performing tasks outdoors in the cold is a continuing problem. Provision of comfort and allowing for mobility are design requirements as important as protection from the cold. A further difficulty experienced by persons working in the cold at tasks for which manual dexterity is required is thermal protection of the hands. In such situations only very light handwear can be worn and often, mitts or gloves must be removed in order to perform the task.

A particular example of such a task exists at the CF Satellite Tracking and Identification Unit (S.I.T.U.) at St. Margaret's, New Brunswick. The telescope (Figure 1) which is used for tracking satellites is located outdoors when operational. To minimize optical interference, the area around the telescope cannot be heated in winter. During the course of the tracking sequence, which takes about 30 minutes, the operator must be in contact with the large metal mass of the telescope. In addition, the operation of the steering control button requires that if any handwear is worn, it must be very thin. Operating temperatures as low as -20°C are often experienced.

There are two ways in which hands may be kept warm when exposed to cold conditions. One may either decrease the heat loss from the hands by adding insulation or increase the heat flow to this area. In the satellite-tracking task, a relatively high degree of manual dexterity is required. Fingers must be free and sensitive to the touch of buttons on the control panel. Since manual dexterity would be degraded if additional insulation were provided to the hands, the only other option is to increase the heat flow to them.

One method of providing greater heat flow to the hands is to increase activity (metabolic rate) and, thus, rate of heat production. However, in the satellite-tracking task, the operator must remain relatively motionless and as a result his rate of heat production is relatively low (about 100 watts).

If his rate of heat production is lower than his rate of heat loss, a man will cool. It has been shown that when this occurs, humans cool in a preferential sequence with extremities cooling before the torso as the body attempts to conserve heat (1). Therefore if a man is cooling, it is very difficult for him to prevent his hands cooling. In other words, the best way to keep the hands warm is to reduce the rate of heat loss from the whole body. Reduction of the rate of heat loss from the body by adding



Figure 1: Satellite Tracking Telescope.

insulation will result in a greater flow of heat to the hands, thus keeping them at a higher temperature.

In response to a request from the Commanding Officer at S.I.T.U. to the Directorate of General Engineering and Maintenance (DCGEM) (2), a study was undertaken at DREO at DCGEM's request to determine whether the cold stress problem being experienced during a tracking task could be alleviated by providing additional insulation to the torso. The study was done in two phases, the first of which was conducted in the laboratory under controlled conditions. The second phase was conducted at St. Margaret's to confirm that the results obtained in the laboratory could be repeated in the field.

METHOD - LABORATORY TRIAL

SUBJECTS

In the laboratory four members of the CF/DREO Test Team volunteered to participate in the experiment. They were active male military personnel whose anthropometric characteristics are given in Table 1.

TABLE I

Anthropometric Characteristics of Test Subjects

Subject	Age (Years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)
A	29	173	72
B	22	175	76
C	22	180	71
D	25	170	59

CLOTHING WORN

In order to provide the additional insulation required by theoretical predictions, a prototype parka and trousers designed to provide about 1.5 times as much thermal protection as the standard CF parka were manufactured in the laboratory. Calculations indicated that the insulation provided by this ensemble was sufficient to keep a relatively inactive man in thermal equilibrium at -20°C.

The following items of standard CF Arctic clothing were worn by each of the test subjects:

NSN 8415-21-859-0726 - Drawers, extreme cold weather
NSN 8415-21-859-0731 - Undershirt, extreme cold weather
NSN 8415-21-868-7806 - Shirt, flannel, cold weather
NSN 8415-21-866-1502 - Coat, combat, men's, OG 107, GS
NSN 8415-21-866-1514 - Liner, men's coat, combat, OG 107, GS
NSN 8415-21-840-8552 - Trousers, combat, men's, OG 107, GS
NSN 8440-21-104-2859 - Socks, men's, long
NSN 8440-21-103-7669 - Socks, men's, wool, frieze
NSN 8430-21-104-6909 - Boots, mukluk, extreme cold weather
NSN 8415-21-103-8356 - Gloves, anti-contact
- Balaclava

In addition to the above, subjects wore either the CF parka, extreme cold weather (NSN 8415-21-870-5571), referred to in Table II as the CF ensemble (CF), or the prototype parka and trousers, referred to as the experimental (EX) ensemble.

TABLE II

Clothing Worn by Test Subjects

Subject	Day 1	Day 2
A	EX	CF
B	CF	EX
C	CF	EX
D	EX	CF

PROTOCOL

Before dressing for the test each subject had 12 YSI type 44004 thermistors attached to the skin at the various sites shown in Figure 2 using Blenderm surgical tape (3M Company) and had a rectal thermistor probe inserted 15 cm into the anus. Additional thermistors were taped to the large toe on the right foot and to the middle and small fingers of the left hand. The subject then dressed in either normal CF Arctic clothing or the experimental clothing (EX) as scheduled in Table II. After dressing, he entered an environmental chamber controlled at -20°C and operated the controls of a simulated satellite tracking device for a period of 30 minutes or until he felt uncomfortably cold or any skin temperature fell below 5°C.

The satellite tracking telescope was simulated by attaching the joystick control of a personal computer to a 100-centimeter-long bar of four-inch steel channel, representing the heat sink of the actual telescope. During the experiment, the subject's gloved hand remained in contact with the steel bar and used the joystick to control a video game on a monitor, simulating the operation of the controls on the telescope. The simulated device is shown in Figure 3. His other hand was allowed to remain in any position the subject deemed comfortable.

While the subjects were in the environmental chamber, temperatures were taken every minute using an automated data acquisition system (3497A Data Acquisition/Control Unit and HP 85 computer, Hewlett Packard) which was used to measure the resistance of each thermistor and to calculate the corresponding temperatures. These readings were then stored on magnetic tape for subsequent analysis. Mean skin temperatures (3) and mean body temperatures (4) were calculated as in the following formulas:

$$T_s = .07\,T_1 + .085\,T_2 + .065\,T_3 + .085\,T_4 + .14\,T_5 + .05\,T_6 + .095\,T_7 + .065\,T_8 + .07\,T_9 + .09\,T_{10} + .09\,T_{11} + .095\,T_{12}$$

$$T_b = .67\,T_{13} + .33\,T_s$$

where T_s = mean skin temperature
T_b = mean body temperature
T_1 = forehead temperature
T_2 = chest temperature
T_3 = rear calf temperature



Figure 2: Location of Thermistors.



Figure 3: Simulated Operating Controls.

T_4 = abdomen temperature
T_5 = lower arm temperature
T_6 = back of hand temperature
T_7 = thigh temperature
T_8 = front calf temperature
T_9 = foot temperature
T_{10} = upper back temperature
T_{11} = lower back temperature
T_{12} = rear thigh temperature
T_{13} = rectal temperature

METHOD - FIELD TRIAL

Since the experimental parka and trousers did not meet the specifications of quick dressing and undressing, an extra-large one-piece commercial snowmobile suit with zippers in the trousers and in the front was purchased and modified by lining it - body, arms and legs - with two layers of Thinsulate 150 (3M Company) quilted to a nylon shell. Calculations indicated that the extra insulation provided was equivalent to that of the experimental clothing. The modified snowmobile suit was sent to St. Margaret's for testing by personnel at S.I.T.U. during actual working conditions in late winter of 1983-84.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Average temperatures of the four subjects when wearing either the CF ensemble or the experimental ensemble were calculated and plotted as a function of time. Average rectal, mean skin, mean body and toe temperatures are shown in Figure 4 and average finger temperatures are shown in Figure 5. Results indicate that no difference in the evolution of rectal temperature was measured when either ensemble was worn but neither the mean skin nor the mean body temperature fell as rapidly when the experimental clothing was worn. Mean skin temperature was about two degrees higher at the end of the 30 minute test period with the experimental ensemble. Toe temperature also fell more rapidly in the CF clothing.



Figure 4 - Average Rectal, Mean Skin and Mean Body Temperatures as a Function of Time



Figure 5 - Average Finger Temperatures as a Function of Time

The most striking observation was that the fingers stayed warmer when the experimental clothing was worn even though in both cases the identical gloves were used. In fact, two subjects could not complete the experiment when wearing the CF clothing because their finger temperatures fell below 5°C. This result is masked when average temperatures are plotted but can clearly be seen in Figure 6 where individual finger temperatures are shown.

Results from the field trials at St. Margaret's (5) indicated that although the modified snowmobile suit kept personnel warm, the suit was too bulky and cumbersome for their use. The satellite tracking crews felt too restricted in their movements and also felt that the suit exerted an uncomfortable amount of pressure at some of the joints such as the knees.

From the results of our experiments, it appears that the above theory is valid and that poorly-insulated hands are indeed kept warmer when a man's whole body is better insulated as expected. In this particular instance the means of providing the extra insulation was not satisfactory, since the resulting clothing was too bulky and cumbersome and restricted the movement of the wearers. Other solutions such as some type of localized auxiliary heating of the body or of the hands which does not affect the viewing apparatus may have to be employed.

CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions of our experiment, it has been confirmed that the addition of insulation to the whole body of a relatively inactive man in the cold maintains the temperature of poorly-insulated hands for a longer period of time albeit with inconvenience of wearing bulky clothing which restricts body motion.



Figure 6 - Individual Finger Temperatures as a Function of Time

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the members of the DREO Test Team and the personnel at St. Margaret's for their kind cooperation.

REFERENCES

1. A.C. Burton an O.G. Edholm, "Man in a Cold Environment", p. 138-139, Edward Arnold Publishers Ltd., London, 1955.

2. 10000-1(CO), Satellite Identification and Tracking Unit, James Park, New Brunswick, dated 8 February 1983.

3. G.L. Hody, "The field measurement of cold stress in the marine environment". Consulting Report to the Defence and Civil Institute of Environmental Medicine, 1973.

4. A.C. Burton and O.G. Edholm, "Man in a Cold Environment", p. 39, Edward Arnold Publishers Ltd., London, 1955.

5. 10000-1(Ops O), Satellite Identification and Tracking Unit, James Park, New Brunswick, dated 4 May 1984.

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA – R & D
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall document is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY
Defence Research Establishment Ottawa
Department of National Defence
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0Z4

2a. DOCUMENT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
UNCLASSIFIED

2b. GROUP

3. DOCUMENT TITLE
EFFECT OF INCREASED BODY CLOTHING INSULATION ON HAND TEMPERATURE IN A COLD ENVIRONMENT

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)
TECHNICAL NOTE

5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial)
LIVINGSTONE, Sydney D., NOLAN, Richard W. and CATTROLL, Stanley, W.

6. DOCUMENT DATE
DECEMBER 1984

7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES
12

7b. NO. OF REFS
5

8a. PROJECT OR GRANT NO.

8b. CONTRACT NO.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER(S)
DREO TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 84-26

9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO.(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this document)

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT
UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY

13. ABSTRACT

The provision of thermal protection for the poorly-insulated hands of satellite-tracking telescope operators working in the cold was investigated. It was found that, as predicted, if additional insulation was applied to the whole body of the man, the temperature of his hands could be maintained for a longer period of time.

DSIS
77-965

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

KEY WORDS

INSULATION
SKIN TEMPERATURE
HAND TEMPERATURE
COLD WEATHER OPERATIONS
COLD WEATHER CLOTHING
MANUAL DEXTERITY

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the organization issuing the document.

2a. DOCUMENT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the document including special warning terms whenever applicable.

2b. GROUP: Enter security reclassification group number. The three groups are defined in Appendix 'M' of the DRB Security Regulations.

3. DOCUMENT TITLE: Enter the complete document title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a sufficiently descriptive title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification with the usual one-capital-letter abbreviation in parentheses immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: Enter the category of document, e.g. technical report, technical note or technical letter. If appropriate, enter the type of document, e.g. interim, progress, summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the document. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. DOCUMENT DATE: Enter the date (month, year) of Establishment approval for publication of the document.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the document.

8a. PROJECT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written.

8b. CONTRACT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number under which the document was written.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER(S): Enter the official document number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this document.

9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NUMBER(S): If the document has been assigned any other document numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

(1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this document from their defence documentation center."

(2) "Announcement and dissemination of this document is not authorized without prior approval from originating activity."

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified) represented as (TS), (S), (C), (R), or (U).

The length of the abstract should be limited to 20 single-spaced standard typewritten lines; 7½ inches long.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful in cataloging the document. Key words should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context.